

nerve inner sepals, which is
very characteristic. I have kindly
sent me fragments of Merriam's
specimens, by which I know
his species. I should indeed
be glad to get fragments of
Simmons's and Clayton's speci-
mens. Is it not singular that
your *Thymifolia* seems never
to have been ~~described~~^{named}. If
I could make L. Gray (L. Gray?) of it, and an L.
Terry of one of the Southern
forms.

Yrs truly,

Wm. H. Lyngby

54, E. 81. St. N. Y.

Sept. 21, 1878

Dear Dr. Gray,

I called on Mr. L.
Ray yesterday afternoon
and found him suffering
greatly from stone in the
bladder. He will gladly send
what he can to Todaro of
Palermo, but thinks he has
not much, some copies of
Terry's Pacific Coast (y. ed.) &
some Mexican Boundary without
plates. ~~He~~ Shall he send
through you? I shall send
directly the back nos of
the Bulletin & continue it.

I had great hesitation
in repeating Rafinesque's
Monograph, but he really seems
to have examined *Secheas*
with some acuteness, and I
don't want to add to the
confusion by making new
names if I can identify
what has been named be-
fore. I am pretty well con-
vinced that *Stulleria* *divar-*
icata is *S. major*, I think I
have an intermediate form, and
from Florida, and detect in frag-
ments from *Seceium* the true *hypo-*
phylloides. I have in vain in-
quired of Palmer, Curtis, Garton
and others to find *S. divaricata*,

and from their account of
success can strengthen in
my belief that it is only
a sport. I gave *S. drummondii*,
Spach, among the forms I had
identified, I should have said
Schickidium drummondii, of which
I have but a single speci-
men of my own. Spach's *Secheas*
drummondii with all his min-
ute description I can not make
out certainly but have little
doubt is *S. nova Caesarea*, Austin,
which is undoubtedly *Buckham's*
S. thymifolia, who was the
acute botanist who determined
Buckham's Secheas. It seems
to be the only one to have
well discriminated *Secheas*. Spach's
S. thymifolia, is doubtless *S. tenuifolia*,
Spach notices the one